arXiv:1512.01459v1 [math.CO] 4 Dec 2015

ON THE LATTICE OF SUBRACKS OF THE RACK OF A FINITE GROUP

ISTVAN HECKENBERGER, JOHN SHARESHIAN, AND VOLKMAR WELKER

Abstract. In this paper we initiate the study of racks from the combined perspective of combinatorics and finite group theory. A rack R is a set with a self-distributive binary operation. We study the combinatorics of the partially ordered set $\mathcal{R}(R)$ of all subracks of R with inclusion as the order relation. Groups G with the conjugation operation provide an important class of racks. For the case $R = G$ we show that

- the order complex of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ has the homotopy type of a sphere,
- the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ determines if G is abelian, nilpotent, supersolvable, solvable or simple,
- $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is graded if and only if G is abelian, $G = S_3$, $G = D_8$ or $G = Q_8$.

In addition, we provide some examples of subracks R of a group G for which $\mathcal{R}(R)$ relates to well studied combinatorial structures. In particular, the examples show that the order complex of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ for general R is more complicated than in the case $R = G$.

1. Introduction

A *rack* R is a set (possibly empty) together with a binary operation $\triangleright$ satisfying the following properties

- (Self Distributivity) for all $a, b, c \in R$ we have $a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) = (a \triangleright b) \triangleright (a \triangleright c)$
- (Bijectivity) for all $a, b \in R$ there is a unique $c \in R$ such that $a \triangleright c = b$.

Rack like structures first appeared in finite geometry, in an attempt to axiomatize the concept of a reflection [32]. In the 1980s racks and subclasses of racks emerged in knot theory under various names (e.g. quandle (Joyce), distributive groupoid (Matveev), automorphic set (Brieskorn)). We refer the reader to [12] for more details.

Racks are intrinsically related to braidings. For that reason, in recent years they have been scrutinized in the theory of braided vector spaces and associated Hopf algebras [2], [1, Sect. 3.6]. In this context a (co)homology theory of racks was developed and (co)homological properties of racks were studied [13]. Other structural invariants of a rack are its inner group and its enveloping group. Rack morphisms can be used to define and classify (finite) simple racks. Finite racks of small size were classified in [33, 22, 15].

In this paper, we initiate the study of (finite) racks from the combined perspective of combinatorics and finite group theory.

The set of subracks of a rack R is partially ordered by inclusion. We will study the structure of this poset in the case that R is a finite group and $a \triangleright b = aba^{-1}$. We will discuss the extent to which the algebraic structure of a group is determined by the combinatorial structure of its subrack poset and determine the topological structure of the order complex

of this poset. Similar work using subgroup lattices, coset posets and posets of p-subgroups has appeared in various papers and books, including [7, 25, 28, 29, 26, 27, 30]. We show in Lemma 2.7 that the combinatorial structure of the subrack lattice of a finite group G determines the multiset of conjugacy class sizes of G. Thus our work is related to the study of the influence on this multiset on the structure of G (see [9, 20]).

We proceed by providing precise definitions and statements of our main results. If G is a group and R is a union of conjugacy classes of G then R together with $a \triangleright b := aba^{-1}$ is easily checked to be a rack. This rack satisfies the additional identity

- for all $a \in A$ we have $a \triangleright a = a$.

Racks satisfying this identity are sometimes called *quandles*. A subset $Q \subseteq R$ of a rack is called a subrack if Q with the operation $\triangleright$ from R is a rack. Note that R is a quandle if and only if every singleton is a subrack.

We write $\mathcal{R}(R)$ for the set of all subracks of R, partially ordered by containment. Lemma 2.1 states the simple fact that $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is indeed a lattice. If R is the rack of elements of a group G with $a \triangleright b := aba^{-1}$ then we write $\mathcal{R}(G)$ for the partially ordered set of subracks of this rack.

We usually write $\leq$ for the order relation in $\mathcal{R}(R)$.

We write $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ for the order complex of $\mathcal{R}(R)$. So, $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is the abstract simplicial complex whose faces are all linearly ordered subsets of $\mathcal{R}(R) \setminus \{\emptyset, R\}$.

We show that up to homotopy $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(G))$ is determined by the number of conjugacy classes of G.

Proposition 1.1. *Let G be a finite group with c conjugacy classes of elements and $z(G)$ elements in the center of G. If R is the rack of all non-central conjugacy classes in G and Z is any set of central elements in G, then*

1. *$\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z) \cong \mathcal{R}(R) \times 2^Z$, and*
2. *$\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z))$ is homotopy equivalent to a $(c - z(G) + |Z| - 2)$-sphere.*

In particular, $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(G))$ is homotopy equivalent to a $(c-2)$-sphere.

As a first structural consequence, the combinatorics of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ determines the number of conjugacy classes of G. In our main result we show that indeed more group theoretical information is encoded in $\mathcal{R}(G)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G, H be finite groups satisfying $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H)$.*

1. *If G is abelian then H is abelian.*
2. *If G is nilpotent then H is nilpotent.*
3. *If G is supersolvable then H is supersolvable.*
4. *If G is solvable then H is solvable.*
5. *If G is simple then H is simple.*

Finally, we are able to classify the finite groups G for which $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded; that is all maximal chains have the same length.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite group. The lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded if and only if G is abelian or G is isomorphic to one of S_3, D_8 or Q_8.*

The paper is organized a follows. In Section §2 we provide the basic notation and basic properties of the poset $\mathcal{R}(R)$ of subracks of the rack R. In addition, we provide the proof of Proposition 1.1 and exhibit examples that show that in general $\mathcal{R}(R)$ need not be Cohen-Macaulay, or even graded, and that $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ can have the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres of different dimensions. In §3 we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3 appears in §4. We close with a few open questions in §5.

2. Racks and Subracks

We know of no general restriction on the structure of the poset $\mathcal{R}(R)$ other than the following one (see §5 for known restrictions in case R is a quandle).

Lemma 2.1. *If R is a rack, then the partially ordered set $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is a lattice. If R in addition is a quandle. then $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is atomic.*

Proof. Clearly, $\emptyset$, $R \in \mathcal{R}(R)$ are the unique minimal and maximal elements of $\mathcal{R}(R)$. If Q, Q' are two subracks of R then for $a, b \in Q \cap Q'$ we have $a \triangleright b \in Q \cap Q'$. As $\triangleright$ is self-distributive on Q and Q' it is so on $Q \cap Q'$. Similarly for $a, b \in Q \cap Q'$ there is a unique $c \in R$ such that $a \triangleright c = b$. Since Q and Q' are subracks this c must then lie in $Q \cap Q'$. Hence $Q \cap Q'$ is a subrack. But then $Q \cap Q'$ is the unique maximal subrack contained in Q and Q' thus the infimum of Q and Q' exists. Since $\mathcal{R}(R)$ has a unique maximal element this implies that Q and Q' also have a supremum and hence that $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is a lattice. □

If R is a quandle then each subrack of R is also a quandle. Therefore, there is no need to consider the poset of subquandles of a quandle separately.

Next we turn to examples where R is a subrack of the rack given by a group and conjugation. The following fact will be useful and is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $R = G$ be the rack given by a group G and conjugation. If Q is a subrack of R such that $G = \langle Q \rangle$ is the group generated by Q, then Q is a union of conjugacy classes of G.*

Next we show that familiar combinatorial objects arise among subrack lattices $\mathcal{R}(R)$.

Example 2.3. Let $G = S_n$ be the symmetric group on n letters and let R be the quandle, defined by the conjugacy class of transpositions. Let Q be a subrack of R. Let $H = \langle Q \rangle$ then H is a subgroup of S_n generated by transpositions. Hence $H = S_{B_1} \times \cdots \times S_{B_r}$ is the direct product of full symmetric groups S_{B_i} on the blocks B_i of a set partition $B_1 | \cdots | B_r$ of $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By Lemma 2.2 it follows that Q is a union of conjugacy classes of H. Since Q consists of transpositions it follows that Q is the set of all transpositions $\tau = (\ell\ k)$ for which there is some i such that $\{\ell, k\} \subseteq B_i$. Thus there is an isomorphism between the lattice $\mathcal{R}(R)$ and the lattice Π_n of set partitions of $[n]$.

It is interesting to see the partition lattice appear among the $\mathcal{R}(R)$, but it is somewhat misleading as in general we do not know what restrictions if any govern the structure of $\mathcal{R}(R)$.

One possible approach to capture the structure of partially ordered sets is the study of their order complexes. For a partially ordered set P with unique maximal element $\hat{1}$ and unique minimal element $\hat{0}$ the *order complex* $\Delta(P) = \{\hat{0} < p_1 < \cdots < p_\ell < \hat{1} \mid p_i \in P\}$ of P is the simplicial complex of all linearly ordered subsets of $P \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$. In particular, we can now speak of homology and homotopy type when we consider partially ordered sets. An important homological property of partially ordered sets is the *Cohen-Macaulay* property (over a field $\mathbb{K}$); see [4, 11.5].

Example 2.4. The order complex $\Delta(\Pi_n)$ of the partition lattice is a geometric lattice and hence well known to be Cohen-Macaulay over any field (see [4, 11.10]). Thus by Example 2.3 the subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(R)$ for R the rack of transpositions in S_n is Cohen-Macaulay over any field.

One consequence of a poset P being Cohen-Macaulay over a field $\mathbb{K}$ is the property that the homology of $\Delta(P)$ is concentrated in dimension $r-2$, where r is the length of a maximal chain in P. This fails for $\mathcal{R}(R)$ in general.

Example 2.5. Let R be the rack of 4-cycles in S_4. Then R contains 6 elements. Clearly, every 4-cycle is a subrack and any pair consisting of a 4-cycle and its inverse is a subrack. But if we have two 4-cycles that are not inverses of each other then they generate S_4 as no subgroup of S_4 contains more than one cyclic subgroup of order 4. Thus $\mathcal{R}(R) \setminus \{R, \emptyset\}$ is disconnected and $\dim \Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is 1. Thus $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

Another consequence of the Cohen-Macaulay property for a partially ordered set P is that P is graded.

Example 2.6. Let R be the rack of all 5-cycles in A_5. Then R splits into two conjugacy classes, C and D, as a 5-cycle x generates its own centralizer in S_5. In particular, a 5-cycle x is conjugate to x^4 but to neither x^2 nor x^3. Also, if a 5-cycle y is not a power of x then $\langle x, y\rangle = A_5$.

For $x \in C$ we have that

$$\emptyset < \{x\} < \{x, x^2\} < \{x, x^2, x^3\} < \{x, x^2, x^3, x^4\} < R$$

is a maximal chain of length 5.

On the other hand,

$$\emptyset < \{x\} < \{x, x^4\} < C < R$$

is another maximal chain and of length 3. Thus $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is not graded and hence not Cohen-Macaulay.

In the two examples above we have studied subracks R of the rack of a finite group G with conjugation. Hence the subrack lattices $\mathcal{R}(R)$ appear as intervals in $\mathcal{R}(G)$. This may indicate that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ can exhibit an almost arbitrary behavior. Next we show that this is not the case. As a preparation for the proof we need the following well known fact from group theory.

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a group and $H < G$ a proper subgroup of G. Then there is a conjugacy class C in G such that $C \cap H = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Consider the set $X = \{Hg \mid g \in G\}$ of right cosets of H in G as a G-set with G acting by right multiplication. The Burnside lemma states

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\mathrm{Fix}_g(X)|$$

where X/G is the set of orbits of G on X and $\mathrm{Fix}_g(X) = \{x \in X \mid xg = x\}$. Clearly, $|X/G| = 1$ and $|\mathrm{Fix}_1(X)| = |X| > 1$.

Assume for contradiction that H contains an element of every conjugacy class. Then $\bigcup_{h \in G} H^h = G$. For any $g \in G$, we have $Hhg = Hh$ if and only if $g \in H^h$. Thus $|\mathrm{Fix}_g(X)| \geq 1$ for every $g \in G$. Now

$$1 = |X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\mathrm{Fix}_g(X)| > 1,$$

yielding the desired contradiction. □

Now we are in position to prove the crucial lemmas leading to Proposition 1.1.

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a finite group. A subrack Q of G is maximal in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ if and only if Q is the union of all but one conjugacy class of G.*

Proof. Let $Q \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ be a subrack of G. If there is no conjugacy class C of G for which $C \cap Q = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 2.7 we must have $\langle Q \rangle = G$. Then by Lemma 2.2 Q must be a union of conjugacy classes and hence $Q = G$. Thus if $Q \neq G$ then there is a conjugacy class C of G with $C \cap Q = \emptyset$. Since a union of conjugacy classes is always a subrack, it follows that the maximal elements of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ are the unions of all but one conjugacy class in G. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a finite group and R be a subrack of G that is a union of conjugacy classes. Then:*

1. *If Z is a set of central elements such that $Z \cap R = \emptyset$ then the map $Q \mapsto (Q \cap R, Q \cap Z)$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z) \cong \mathcal{R}(R) \times \mathcal{R}(Z)$.*
2. *If Q is a maximal element of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ then Q is the union of all but one conjugacy class from R.*

Proof. (1) The claim is easily verified.

(2) For $R = G$, $Z = \emptyset$ the claim holds by Lemma 2.8.

Next we consider the general case. For that, let Z be the set of central elements of G not contained in R. Then by (1) there is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{R}(G)$ to $\mathcal{R}(R) \times \mathcal{R}(Z)$, sending $Q \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ to $(Q \cap R, Q \cap Z)$. Since we already know that each maximal element of $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is obtained from G by removing a conjugacy class, it follows that the maximal elements of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ are the subsets that are the union of all but one conjugacy class in R.

□

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Claim (i) follows from Lemma 2.9 (1) and the fact that $\mathcal{R}(Z) = 2^Z$ whenever $Z \subseteq G$ is a set of pairwise commuting elements.

For (ii) consider the map $\phi : \mathcal{R}(R \cup Z) \to \mathcal{R}(R \cup Z)$ that sends a subrack Q to the union of all conjugacy classes C for which $Q \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q \leq \phi(Q) = \phi(\phi(Q))$ and $Q \leq Q'$ implies $\phi(Q) \leq \phi(Q')$. By Lemma 2.9(2) we know that $\phi(Q) \neq R \cup Z$ if $Q \neq R \cup Z$. Hence ϕ is an upward closure operator on $\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z)$. In particular, by [4, Cor. 10.12] $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z))$ and $\Delta(\phi(\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z)))$ are homotopy equivalent. A subrack Q of $R \cup Z$ lies in the image of ϕ if and only if Q is the union of some G-conjugacy classes. Numbering the conjugacy classes 1 up to c then provides an identification of $\phi(\mathcal{R}(R \cup Z))$ and $2^{[c-z(G)+|Z|]}$ ordered by inclusion. Since $\Delta(2^{[c-z(G)+|Z|]})$ is a triangulation of a $(c - z(G) + |Z| - 2)$-sphere we are done. □

When working out the homology of $\Delta\mathcal{R}(R)$ in Example 2.3, Example 2.5 and Example 2.6, one sees that this homology of is concentrated in one dimension in all three cases. Proposition 1.1 provides another instance of the phenomenon. We next show that this is not the case in general.

For $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\Pi_{n,k}$ will denote the set of all partitions $B_1|\ldots|B_r$ in Π_n such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, either $|B_i| = 1$ or $|B_i| \geq k$. The lattice $\Pi_{n,k}$ is called the k-equal partition lattice (see e.g. [5]).

Proposition 2.10. *Let $p < n - 2$ be an odd prime and $R_{n,p}$ be the rack of all p-cycles in the alternating group A_n. Then the order complexes of $\mathcal{R}(R_{n,p})$ and $\Pi_{n,p}$ are homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. Let Q be a subrack of $R_{n,p}$. Set $H = \langle Q \rangle$. It is well known that for odd p the p-cycles in A_n form a conjugacy class. Thus if $H = A_n$ then by Lemma 2.2 we have $Q = R_{n,p}$.
Claim: If $H = \langle Q \rangle < A_n$ then H does not act transitively on $[n]$.
◁ Assume H acts transitively on $[n]$. First, consider the case that H acts imprimitively. Let $B_1|\cdots|B_r$ be a set partition of $[n]$ stabilized by H where $r > 1$ and $|B_1| > 1$. If π is a p-cycle in $Q \subseteq H$ then the cyclic group $\langle \pi \rangle$ of order p generated by π either stabilizes all sets B_i or there is an orbit $B_{i_1}, \ldots, B_{i_p}$ of size p on the blocks. But the latter contradicts the fact that π fixes all but p elements in $[n]$. Thus all generators of H fix the blocks of imprimitivity. But then H cannot be transitive. Hence H acts primitively on $[n]$. But by $p < n - 2$ it follows from a result by Jordan and Marggraff (see [34, Thm. 13.9]) that H cannot contain a p-cycle which contradicts the fact that H is generated by p-cycles. Hence H cannot act transitively on $[n]$. ▷

Consider the map $\phi : \mathcal{R}(R) \to \Pi_n$ that sends Q to the partition of $[n]$ given by the orbits of $H = \langle Q \rangle$. By the above reasoning we know that ϕ restricts to a map between the proper parts of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ and Π_n.
Claim: The image of ϕ is $\Pi_{n,p}$.
◁ Let $B_1|\cdots|B_r = \phi(Q)$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{R}(R) \setminus \{\emptyset, R\}$. Let i be such that $1 \leq |B_i| < p$. Then the elements of B_i must be fixed by any p-cycle in H. But then H must fix B_i and hence $|B_i| = 1$. Thus $\phi(\mathcal{R}(R)) \subseteq \Pi_{n,p}$. Conversely, let $B_1|\cdots|B_r \in \Pi_{n,p}$. For any $B \subseteq [n]$ denote by A_B the alternating group on the elements of B. Set $H = A_{B_1} \times \cdots \times A_{B_r}$ and

let Q_H be the set of all p-cycles contained in H. Then Q_H is the union of p-cycles with support in some B_i. Since the p-cycles with support in different B_i commute it follows that Q_H is a subrack with $\phi(Q_H) = B_1|\cdots|B_r$. Thus the image of ϕ is $\Pi_{n,p}$. ▷

Now for every $\tau = B_1|\cdots|B_r \in \Pi_{n,p}$ the lower fiber $\phi((\Pi_{n,p})_{\leq\tau})$ has a unique maximal element Q_H the set of p-cycles contained in $H = A_{B_1} \times \cdots \times A_{B_r}$. Thus each fiber is a cone and hence contractible. Hence, by the Quillen fiber lemma [25] ϕ induces a homotopy equivalence between $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ and $\Delta(\Pi_{n,p})$. □

Corollary 2.11. *If p is an odd prime and $p < n-2$, then $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R_{n,p}))$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. If $2p \leq n$ then there are spheres of several dimensions in the wedge.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.10 $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Delta(\Pi_{n,p})$. By [5, Thm. 1.5] the assertion then follows. □

3. Classifying group classes through their subrack lattices

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section we will frequently use for a rack R and subracks S, T of R the notation $[S,T]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ to denote an interval $\{Q \ : \ S \leq Q \leq R\}$ in $\mathcal{R}(R)$.

3.1. **Auxiliary results.** We begin with a simple observation. The atoms in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ are the one-element subsets of G. Thus, for any $R \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, $|R|$ is the number of atoms in the interval $[\emptyset, R]_{\mathsf{rk}}$. In particular, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\psi : \mathcal{R}(G) \to \mathcal{R}(H)$ is an isomorphism of lattices, then $|\psi(R)| = |R|$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}(G)$.*

For $R \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, we define the *closure* $\overline{R}$ in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ to be the union of all conjugacy classes C of G satisfying $C \cap R \neq \emptyset$. If there is any ambiguity about the ambient group G, we will write $\overline{R_G}$ for $\overline{R}$. The map on $\mathcal{R}(G)$ sending R to $\overline{R}$ is idempotent, increasing and order preserving and thus is a closure operation as defined by Rota (see [4, p. 1852] and the proof of Proposition 1.1). We will say that R is *closed* if $R = \overline{R}$. So, R is closed if and only if R is a union of G-conjugacy classes.

For any lattice L, we define $\mathsf{Int}(L)$ to be the subposet of L consisting of those elements that are the meet of a set of coatoms of L.

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_k$ be the conjugacy classes in G.*

1. *A subrack $R \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ lies in $\mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(G))$ if and only if R is closed.*
2. *The lattice $\mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(G))$ is isomorphic with the Boolean algebra B_k.*

Our next goal is to identify non-normal maximal subgroups of solvable groups G in terms of the combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{R}(G)$.

We define $\mathcal{M}(G)$ to be the set of all $R \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ satisfying all of

(A) $\overline{R} \neq R$,

(B) $[R,G]_{\mathsf{rk}} = \{R\} \bigcup [\overline{R}, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$,

(C) every element of $[\overline{R}, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ is closed,
(D) $\mathsf{Int}([\emptyset, \overline{R}]_{\mathsf{rk}})$ is not a Boolean algebra.

Note that we can identify $\mathcal{M}(G)$ using only the combinatorial structure of $\mathcal{R}(G)$. In particular, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\psi : \mathcal{R}(G) \to \mathcal{R}(H)$ be an isomorphism. Then $\psi(\mathcal{M}(G)) = \mathcal{M}(H)$.*

Lemma 3.4. *The following claims hold.*

(1) *If $R \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ then R is a non-normal subgroup of G.*
(2) *If M is a non-normal maximal subgroup of G, then $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$.*

Proof. Assume for contradiction that $R \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ is not a subgroup of G. Let $N = \langle R \rangle$. Then every element of $[R, N]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ is closed in $\mathcal{R}(N)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2, applied to N, that $[R, N]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ is a Boolean algebra. As the only element of $[R, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ covering R is $\overline{R}$, we see that $\overline{R} = N$. Applying Lemma 3.2 to N again, we see that $\mathsf{Int}([\emptyset, \overline{R}]_{\mathsf{rk}})$ is a Boolean algebra. Thus R does not satisfy (D), contrary to our assumption. Therefore, $R \leq G$. Also, $R \neq \overline{R}$, as R is not closed. We have proved (1).

Say M is a non-normal maximal subgroup of G. Then M is strictly contained in the union of all conjugacy classes of G that intersect M non-trivially and hence satisfies (A). If $g \in G \setminus M$, then $\langle M, g \rangle = G$. It follows that every subrack of G strictly containing M is closed and thus also contains $\overline{M}$. Thus M satisfies (B) and (C).

Let C be the core of M in G. As every G-conjugate of M is covered by $\overline{M}$ in $\mathcal{R}(G)$, we see that $C \in \mathsf{Int}([\emptyset, \overline{M}]_{\mathsf{rk}})$. As C is a closed proper subrack of G, there is some G-conjugacy class X such that $C \subseteq \overline{M} \setminus X$.

Assume first that $C \neq \overline{M} \setminus X$. As both C and $\overline{M}$ are closed, there is some G-conjugacy class $X' \neq X$ such that $X' \subseteq \overline{M}$ and $X' \cap C = \emptyset$. No G-conjugate of M can contain X', as otherwise C would contain X'. Therefore, no coatom of $[C, \overline{M}]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ containing $M \setminus X$ is a G-conjugate of M. Since C is the intersection of the G-conjugates of M it follows that C is the meet of a proper subset of the set of coatoms of $[C, \overline{M}]_{\mathsf{rk}}$. Therefore, $\mathsf{Int}([\emptyset, \overline{M}]_{\mathsf{rk}})$ is not a Boolean algebra.

Assume now that $C = \overline{M} \setminus X$. Then every non-identity element of M/C is of the form Cx with $x \in X$. It follows that all such elements are conjugate in G/C. Thus all such elements have the same order, which must be prime. Therefore, there is a prime p such that M/C is a p-group of exponent p. We claim that $p = 2$. Indeed, assume for contradiction that $p > 2$ and let Cz be a non-identity element of $Z(M/C)$. Then Cz is conjugate to Cz^{-1} in G/C, but not in M/C. It follows that $\langle Cz \rangle$ is normal in G/C, as it is normalized by both the maximal subgroup M/C and by some element not in M/C. This contradicts the fact that M/C has trivial core in G/C.

We see now that M/C is an elementary abelian 2-group. As M is maximal and non-normal in G, it follows that M/C is Sylow 2-subgroup of G/C and $N_{G/C}(M/C) = M/C$. By Burnside's Normal p-Complement Theorem (see for example [19, Theorem 7.2.1]), G/C contains a normal complement N/C to M/C. As M/C is maximal in G/C, we see that N/C is characteristically simple and thus the direct product of pairwise isomorphic simple

groups (see for example [19, 1.7.3]). Now by either the Feit-Thompson odd order Theorem or [3, Lemma 3.24], N/C is an elementary abelian p-group for some odd prime p.

The conjugation action of M/C on N/C determines a linear representation ϕ of M/C on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space N/C. As N/C is minimal normal in G/C and M/C has trivial core in G/C, ϕ is faithful and irreducible. It follows $|M/C| = 2$ (see for example [21, 0.5]) and in turn that $|N/C| = p$. As M is not normal in G, we see that G/C is dihedral of order $2p$. Thus M has $p \geq 3$ conjugates in G, and the intersection of any two of these conjugates is C. It follows that $\mathsf{Int}([C, \overline{M}]_{\mathsf{rk}})$ is not a Boolean algebra. Thus M satisfies (D) and (2) holds.

□

Corollary 3.5. *If M is a maximal element of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ with respect to the order inherited from $\mathcal{R}(G)$, then M is a non-normal subgroup of G and every subgroup of G properly containing M is normal in G.*

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.4. □

We will prove something stronger than Corollary 3.5 under the assumption that G is solvable.

Lemma 3.6. *Let L, M be non-conjugate maximal subgroups of the solvable group G. Then $\overline{L} \neq \overline{M}$.*

Proof. By a Theorem of Ore (see [11, (16.1)] or [24]), L and M have different cores in G. Let $C = \mathrm{Core}_G(M)$. We may assume that $C \not\leq L$. Let

$$1 = N_0 \lhd N_1 \lhd \ldots \lhd N_\ell = G$$

be a chief series for G such that $N_j = C$ for some $j \in [\ell]$. Find the smallest $i \in [\ell]$ such that $N_i \not\leq L$. Note $i \leq j$. Then L/N_{i-1} is a complement to N_i/N_{i-1} in G/N_{i-1} and thus $L \cap N_i = N_{i-1}$. It follows that L has empty intersection with every G-conjugacy class in $N_i \setminus N_{i-1}$. However, $N_i \leq C \leq M$. □

Lemma 3.7. *If M is a maximal element of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ with respect to the order inherited from $\mathcal{R}(G)$, then $N_G(M) = M$.*

Proof. Let K be the intersection of all subgroups of G properly containing M. Then $K \trianglelefteq G$ by Corollary 3.5. So, $K \neq M$ and M is a maximal subgroup of K. Moreover, if $N_G(M) \neq M$, then $K \leq N_G(M)$.

Assume for contradiction that $M \lhd K$. Thus M is a union of K-conjugacy classes. If $K \setminus M$ contains more than one K-class, then M is covered by more than one element in $[M, K]_{\mathsf{rk}}$. This is impossible, as M is covered only by $\overline{M}$ in $[M, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$. If $K \setminus M$ consists of one K-class, then M is a maximal subrack of K and $K = \overline{M}$. This is also impossible, as $\mathsf{Int}([\emptyset, K]_{\mathsf{rk}})$ is a Boolean algebra by Lemma 3.2, while M satisfies (D). □

Corollary 3.8. *If G is solvable and $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, then M is a non-normal maximal subgroup of G.*

Proof. Again, let K be the intersection of all subgroups of G properly containing M. Assume for contradiction that $K \neq G$. By Lemma 3.7, M has $[K : M]$ K-conjugates and $[G : M]$ G-conjugates. Thus there exists a G-conjugate L of M that is not a K-conjugate of M. As $K \lhd G$, we know that $L \leq K$. Moreover, $\overline{L_G} = \overline{M_G}$ is the unique element covering L in $\mathcal{R}(G)$. It follows that

$$\overline{L_K} = \overline{L_G} = \overline{M_G} = \overline{M_K}.$$

This is impossible by Lemma 3.6, as $K \leq G$ is solvable. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Proof of Theorem 1.2(1). If G is abelian then every subset of G is a subrack of G and thus $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is a Boolean algebra. On the other hand, say the elements $x, y \in G$ do not commute. Then $\{x, y\}$ is not a subrack of G. As the atoms in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ are exactly the one-element subsets of G, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not a Boolean algebra. Theorem 1.2(1) follows. □

To prove that Theorem 1.2(2) holds, we use the following result (see [19, Exercise 4, p. 107]).

Theorem 3.9. *A finite group G is nilpotent if and only if every maximal subgroup of G is normal in G.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2(2). As a nilpotent group is solvable, it follows from Theorem 3.9, Lemma 3.4(2) and Corollary 3.8 that G is nilpotent if and only if $\mathcal{M}(G) = \emptyset$. □

For the proof of the solvable case Theorem 1.2(4) we will need the following result of Kano (see [18, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 3.10. *If every non-normal maximal subgroup of G has the same order, then G is solvable.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2(4). We prove Theorem 1.2(4) by induction on $|G|$, the base case $G = 1$ being trivial.

Assume that G is solvable and let $\psi : \mathcal{R}(G) \to \mathcal{R}(H)$ be an isomorphism. By Theorem 1.2(2), we may assume that G is not nilpotent. Now by Theorem 3.9, G has at least one conjugacy class of non-normal maximal subgroups.

Assume first that G has two non-conjugate, non-normal maximal subgroups, M_1 and M_2. For $i = 1, 2$, set $N_i = \mathrm{Core}_G(M_i)$, $L_i = \psi(M_i)$ and $D_i = \mathrm{Core}_H(L_i)$.

We have the following facts.

- Each L_i is a subgroup of H by Corollary 3.5.
- For $i = 1, 2$, $\psi(\overline{M_i}) = \overline{L_i}$. Indeed, $[L_i, H]_{\mathsf{rk}} \cong [M_i, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$. As $\overline{M_i} \in \mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(G))$, it follows that $\psi(\overline{M_i}) \in \mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(H))$ is closed. Moreover, $\psi(\overline{M_i})$ covers L_i.
- For $i = 1, 2$, $\psi(N_i) = D_i$. To see this, note that by Lemma 3.6, the intersection of the set of coatoms in $[\emptyset, \overline{M_i}]$ with $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is the set of G-conjugates of M_i. The map ψ sends this intersection to the intersection of the set of coatoms in $[\emptyset, \overline{L_i}]$ with $\mathcal{M}(H)$. As $|G| = |H|$ and $|M_i| = |L_i|$, it follows from Lemma 3.7, that this second

intersection consists exactly of the H-conjugates of L_i. Now taking the meets in the respective lattices of the two intersections in question yields the claim.

Now $N_1 \neq N_2$, as M_1, M_2 are not conjugate. We may assume that $N_1 \not\leq M_2$. Therefore, $D_1 \not\leq L_2$.

By Lemma 3.6, the only elements of $\mathcal{M}(H)$ with the same closure as L_1 are those of the form $\psi(X)$ with X conjugate to M_1 in G. By Lemma 3.7, we get $N_H(L_1) = L_1$. As $|G| = |H|$ and $|L_1| = |M_1|$ by Lemma 3.3, we see that D_1 is the intersection of the H-conjugates of L_1. Thus $D_1 \lhd H$. Now $L_2 < D_1L_2 \leq H$. As L_2 is maximal in $\mathcal{M}(H)$, we see that $D_1L_2 \trianglelefteq H$. Moreover, H/D_1L_2 is nilpotent by Theorem 3.9. By inductive hypothesis, D_1 is solvable, as is $D_1L_2/D_1 \cong L_2/(D_1 \cap L_2)$. Thus H is solvable.

Now assume that G has exactly one conjugacy class of non-normal maximal subgroups. Then all maximal elements of $\mathcal{M}(H)$ (with respect to the order inherited from $\mathcal{R}(H)$) have the same order, by Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.5. Therefore, H is solvable by Theorem 3.10. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2(3). Say G is supersolvable and $\psi : \mathcal{R}(H) \to \mathcal{R}(G)$ is an isomorphism. Then H is solvable by Theorem 1.2(4). Thus every $X \in \mathcal{M}(H)$ is maximal and non-normal in H. It follows that $\psi^{-1}(X)$ is maximal and non-normal in G. Thus $[G : \psi^{-1}(X)]$ is prime, as G is supersolvable. Now $[H : X]$ is also prime, as $|H| = |G|$ and $|X| = |\psi^{-1}(X)|$. We see now that every maximal subgroup of H has prime index. It follows from a result of Huppert (see [16, Satz 9]) that H is supersolvable. □

It remains to prove Theorem 1.2(5).

Proof of Theorem 1.2(5). Assume that G is simple and $\mathcal{R}(H) \cong \mathcal{R}(G)$. If G is abelian then G has prime order and so does H. Assume from now on that G is non-abelian simple. For any group X and any $x \in X$, we will write $\mathrm{cl}_X(x)$ for the conjugacy class of x in X. We observe that every non-trivial conjugacy class of G generates G as a group. It follows that if $C \subseteq G$ is a non-trivial conjugacy class and $g \in G$, then the join of C and $\{g\}$ in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is $C \bigcup \mathrm{cl}_G(g)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that if $C \in \mathcal{R}(H)$ is an atom in $\mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(H))$ and $h \in H$, then the join of C and $\{h\}$ in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is the union of C and the unique atom of $\mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(H))$ lying above $\{h\}$ in $\mathcal{R}(H)$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of H. Thus

$$N = N_1 \times \ldots \times N_t,$$

with the N_i being pairwise isomorphic simple groups.

We claim first that N is not central in H. In fact, $Z(H) = 1$. Indeed, $Z(H)$ consists of those $h \in H$ such that $\{h, x\} \in \mathcal{R}(H)$ for all $x \in H$. As $Z(G) = 1$, $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has one such element, and the claim follows.

Next, assume for contradiction that N is abelian, that is, each N_i has prime order p. By Theorem 1.2(2), we know that H is not a p-group. There is some $h \in H$ of prime order $r \neq p$. Pick some $x \in N \setminus 1$. Note that $\mathrm{cl}_H(x) \subseteq N$. Let $K = \langle h, \mathrm{cl}_H(x)\rangle$. The join of $\{h\}$ and $\mathrm{cl}_H(x)$ in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is the union of $\mathrm{cl}_H(x)$ and $\mathrm{cl}_K(h)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{cl}_K(h) = \mathrm{cl}_H(h)$. Now, as $K \leq \langle h\rangle N$, $[K : C_K(h)]$ is a p-group. Therefore, $|\mathrm{cl}_H(h)|$ is a power of p. However,

the multiset of conjugacy class sizes in H is the same as that of G. As G is simple, no non-trivial conjugacy class in G has prime power order, as shown by Burnside in [8, p. 392].

We assume now that each N_i is non-abelian simple and assume further for contradiction that $t > 1$. Pick $x \in N_1$ and some non-trivial conjugacy class C of H that is contained in N but does not contain x. (Such C, x exist, since N is not elementary abelian.) Let $L = \langle C, x\rangle$. Then the join of C and x in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is $C \cup \mathrm{cl}_L(x)$. This forces $\mathrm{cl}_L(x) = \mathrm{cl}_H(x)$. However, $\mathrm{cl}_L(x) \subseteq N_1$, while $\mathrm{cl}_H(x) \not\subseteq N_1$, as H acts transitively on $\{N_1, \ldots, N_t\}$ by the minimality of N.

We conclude that every minimal normal subgroup of H is non-abelian simple. Assume for contradiction that M, N are distinct minimal normal subgroups of H. Then M and N commute elementwise. Pick a non-trivial conjugacy class C of G contained in M and a non-trivial element $x \in N$. Let $J = \langle C, x\rangle$. The join of C and $\{x\}$ in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is $C \bigcup \mathrm{cl}_J(x)$. It follows that $\mathrm{cl}_H(x) = \mathrm{cl}_J(x)$. However, $x \in Z(J)$ while $x \notin Z(H)$.

We see now that H has a unique minimal normal subgroup N and N is non-abelian simple, that is, H is almost simple. Assume for contradiction that $H \neq N$. A result of Feit and Seitz ([14, Theorem C]) says that there is some conjugacy class C of N such that C is not a conjugacy class in H. Pick some $x \in C$ and some non-trivial conjugacy class $D \neq C$ of N. As above, the join of D and x in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ is contained in $D \bigcup C$. However, we know already that this join is $D \bigcup \mathrm{cl}_H(x)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2(5). □

3.3. **Final comments on the proof or Theorem 1.2.** Let us present a second proof of Theorem 1.2(2). The conjugacy classes in G are exactly the atoms in $\mathsf{Int}(\mathcal{R}(G))$. Thus we can determine the multiset of conjugacy class sizes in G from $\mathcal{R}(G)$. According to a result of Cossey, Hawkes and Mann in [10], this multiset tells us whether or not G is nilpotent. As far as we know, it is not known if the multiset of class sizes tells us whether or not G is supersolvable, or if it tells us whether or not G is solvable.

For ease of exposition, we invoke the Feit-Thompson Odd Order Theorem at one point. As we indicate at that point, we can obtain the same consequence by more elementary means.

To finish our proof of Theorem 1.2(5), we invoked [14, Theorem C], the proof of which uses the Classification. Without the Classification, we have shown that if G is simple and $\mathcal{R}(H) \cong \mathcal{R}(G)$, then H is almost simple. We can conclude in addition that $H/F^*(H)$ is nilpotent. Indeed, otherwise some non-normal maximal subgroup $M < H$ contains $F^*(H)$. It follows that there exists some $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(H)$ such that $\bigcap_{S\in\mathcal{S}} S$ is closed in $\mathcal{R}(H)$ and has more than one element. Indeed, the intersection of all H-conjugates of M is $F^*(H)$. As $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H)$, the intersection of some elements of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is a closed subrack of G containing more than one element. As G is simple, this is impossible by Lemma 3.4(1).

4. Gradedness of subrack lattice

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3 after stating and proving a series of preliminary results.

If G is abelian, then $\mathcal{R}(G) = 2^G$ is graded. It is reasonable to hope that all non-abelian groups have non-graded subrack lattices, and one might try to prove that this is the case by showing that minimal non-abelian groups have non-graded subrack lattices. An examination of this class finds three exceptions, namely, the three smallest non-abelian groups, S_3, D_8 and Q_8. Indeed, the next result can easily be proved by direct inspection.

Proposition 4.1. *If $G \cong S_3$, then $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded and each maximal chain has length 3. If G is isomorphic to one of D_8 or Q_8, then $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded and each maximal chain has length 5.*

The main content of Theorem 1.3 is that these three are the only non-abelian groups with graded subrack lattices. For its proof we will use the following lemma repeatedly.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a maximal subgroup of the finite group G. Let c be the number of conjugacy classes C of G such that $M \cap C = \emptyset$.*

1. *If $M \lhd G$, then every maximal chain in $[M, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ has length c.*
2. *If M is not normal in G, then every maximal chain in $[M, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ has length $c + 1$.*

Proof. As M is maximal in G, $\langle g, M\rangle = G$ for all $g \in G \setminus M$. Therefore, every element of $(M, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ is a union of G-conjugacy classes. Claim (1) follows immediately, and (2) follows from Lemma 3.4(2). □

In [23] the minimal non-abelian finite groups are described. For our purposes, the following consequence will suffice.

Proposition 4.3. *If G is a minimal non-abelian finite group, then either G has prime power order, or $G = CA$, where A is a normal elementary abelian subgroup, C is cyclic and both A and C have prime power order.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let p be a prime and let G be a minimal non-abelian p-group. The lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded if and only if $|G| = 8$.*

Proof. Assume that G is minimal non-abelian of order p^k and that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded. Note that $k \geq 3$.

As G is not cyclic, G has more than one maximal subgroup. Let A, B be maximal subgroups of G. Then both A and B are abelian normal subgroups of index p in G. As $G = AB$, it follows that $Z := A \cap B$ is contained in the center $Z(G)$ of G. As G is non-abelian, $[G : Z(G)] \geq p^2$. From $[G : Z] = p^2$ it follows that $Z = Z(G)$. Since A, B were arbitrary maximal subgroups, we see that $Z \leq M$ for every maximal subgroup M of G.

Observe that if $g \in G \setminus Z$ then $|\mathrm{cl}_G(g)| = p$. Indeed, any maximal subgroup of G containing g is abelian and thus is the centralizer of g. It follows that if A is any maximal subgroup of G, then

$$\frac{p^k - p^{k-1}}{p} = p^{k-1} - p^{k-2}$$

G-conjugacy classes intersect A trivially. As A is abelian and normal in G, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $2p^{k-1} - p^{k-2}$

Now fix two elements $x, y \in G$ that do not commute. As G is minimal non-abelian, $G = \langle x, y\rangle$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G containing x. Note that $M \lhd G$, $Z \leq M$, and $y \notin M$.

As $G = \langle x, y\rangle$ is non-abelian, $x \notin Z$. So, $|\mathrm{cl}_G(x)| = p$. As $x \in M$ and M is abelian, every subset of $\mathrm{cl}_G(x)$ is a subrack of G. In particular, there exists a chain $\{R_i : 0 \leq i \leq p\}$ of subracks of $\mathrm{cl}_G(x)$ such that $|R_i| = i$ for each i. In particular, we have $R_p = \mathrm{cl}_G(x)$.

If Q is any subrack of G containing R_p and y, then $\langle Q\rangle = G$ and thus Q is a union of G-conjugacy classes. It follows that $Q_y := R_p \cup \mathrm{cl}_G(y)$ covers R_p in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ and that any saturated chain in $[Q_y, G]_{\mathsf{rk}}$ is obtained by adding, one at a time, the G-conjugacy classes other than $\mathrm{cl}_G(x)$ and $\mathrm{cl}_G(y)$ to Q_y. As G has

$$p^{k-2} + \frac{p^k - p^{k-2}}{p} = p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} - p^{k-3}$$

conjugacy classes, it follows now that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a chain of length

$$p + p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} - p^{k-3} - 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, we conclude that

$$2p^{k-1} - p^{k-2} = p^{k-1} + p^{k-2} - p^{k-3} + p - 1,$$

equivalently, that

$$p^{k-3}(p-1)^2 = p - 1,$$

which forces $p = 2$ and $k = 3$ as claimed. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let p, q be primes and let $G = CA$ be a minimal non-abelian group such that $C \leq G$ is a cyclic p-subgroup and $A \lhd G$ is an elementary abelian normal q-subgroup. The lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded if and only if $G \cong S_3$.*

Proof. Let c generate C and consider A to be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} A = \ell$, so $|A| = q^\ell$, and that $|C| = p^k$.

As G is minimal non-abelian, c^p must centralize A and C must act irreducibly on A. It follows that either $p \neq q$ or $p = q$ and $A = \mathbb{F}_p$. But in the latter case C centralizes A and G is abelian. Hence $p \neq q$ and $C_A(c) = 1$. Therefore, C has q^ℓ G-conjugates, and each of the $q^\ell(p^k - p^{k-1})$ elements of order p^k in G is conjugate to exactly one generator of C. Thus the elements of G having order p^k form $p^k - p^{k-1}$ conjugacy classes.

The remaining $p^{k-1}q^\ell$ elements of G lie in the normal, abelian maximal subgroup $M := \langle c^p, A\rangle$ of G. The elements of $\langle c^p\rangle$ are central in G, and the remaining elements of M form $p^{k-2}(q^\ell - 1)$ conjugacy classes, each class having size p.

Applying Lemma 4.2 to

- M, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length
$$p^{k-1}q^\ell + p^k - p^{k-1} = p^{k-1}(q^\ell + p - 1).$$
- the non-normal maximal subgroup C, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length
$$p^k + 1 + p^{k-2}(q^\ell - 1).$$

Assuming $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, we see that

$$p^{k-1}(q^\ell + p - 1) = p^k + 1 + p^{k-2}(q^\ell - 1).$$

If $k > 1$, we get a contradiction from reduction modulo p. Therefore, if $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded then $k = 1$ and

$$q^\ell + p - 1 = p + 1 + \frac{q^\ell - 1}{p},$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{q^\ell}{2} < 2.$$

Therefore, $q^\ell = 3$ and $p^k = 2$ and $G = S_3$. The Lemma now follows from Proposition 4.1. □

Combining Proposition 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *If G is a non-abelian finite group and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, then every minimal non-abelian subgroup of G is isomorphic to one of S_3, D_8 or Q_8. In particular, $|G|$ is even.*

This immediately implies.

Corollary 4.7. *Let G be a group of odd order. The lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded if and only if G is abelian.*

Lemma 4.4 allows us to determine the structure of a 2-group whose subrack lattice is graded.

Lemma 4.8. *If G is a non-abelian 2-group and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, then $|G| = 8$.*

Proof. When showing that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not graded if $|G| > 8$, we may assume that $|G| = 16$. Indeed, under the assumptions of the theorem, every minimal non-abelian subgroup of G has order eight by Lemma 4.4. Such a subgroup is contained in some $H \leq G$ such that $|H| = 16$, and H satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Now, as $|G| = 16$, G has an abelian subgroup A of order eight (see for example (4.26) in [31]). Moreover, G contains a minimal non-abelian subgroup E of order eight.

We consider the conjugacy classes in G. Let $Z = Z(G)$. As G is not abelian, we see that $Z \leq A$. Let $t \in G \setminus A$ then $\langle A, t\rangle = G$ and $G = A\langle t\rangle$. Since G is non-abelian, we see that $Z = C_A(t)$. It follows that $C_G(t) = \langle t\rangle Z$. As A has index 2 in G and $G = A\langle t\rangle$ we have $t^2 \in A$ and hence $t^2 \in C_A(t)$. It follows that $[C_G(t) : Z] = 2$ and that

$$|\mathrm{cl}_G(t)| = \frac{|G|}{2|Z|}.$$

From this we deduce that the elements of $G \setminus A$ form $|Z|$ conjugacy classes of equal size.

If $a \in A \setminus Z$ then $C_G(a) = A$ and therefore $|\mathrm{cl}_G(a)| = 2$. Hence, the elements of A form $|Z|$ conjugacy classes of size one and $4 - \frac{|Z|}{2}$ classes of size two.

We now exhibit two maximal chains of different length, from which it follows that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not graded.

- An application of Lemma 4.2 to A yields a maximal chain in $\mathcal{R}(G)$ of length $8+|Z|$.
- Next we apply Lemma 4.2 to E. Note that $|E \cap A| = 4$ and $|E \cap Z| = 2$. Therefore, the elements of $A \setminus E$ form $|Z| - 2$ central conjugacy classes and $3 - \frac{|Z|}{2}$ non-central classes. The elements of $G \setminus (A \cup E)$ form $\frac{|Z|}{2}$ classes, as these are half of the elements of $G \setminus A$. We see now that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ contains a chain of length

$$6 + (|Z| - 2) + (3 - \frac{|Z|}{2}) + \frac{|Z|}{2} = 7 + |Z|.$$

□

With the local structure of a group with graded subrack lattice in hand, we turn to the global structure.

Lemma 4.9. *Let G be a finite group such that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded. If $p > 3$ is a prime divisor of $|G|$, then G has a normal p-complement.*

Proof. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. By Corollary 4.7, P is abelian. Let $x \in N_G(P)$. Claim: $x \in C_G(P)$.

◁ Assume for contradiction that $x \notin C_G(P)$. As $\langle x \rangle$ is the product of its Sylow subgroups, some $y \in \langle x \rangle$ has prime power order and does not centralize P. Say $|y| = q^k$ with q prime. Note that $q \neq p$. The group $\langle y \rangle P$ is non-abelian and thus contains a minimal non-abelian subgroup K. As P and $\langle y \rangle$ are abelian, K is neither a p-group nor a q-group. By Proposition 4.3 K is the semi-direct product of a elementary abelian normal p-subgroup and a cyclic q-group. As $p > 3$, this contradicts Lemma 4.5. It follows $x \in C_G(P)$. ▷

Hence P is central in $N_G(P)$. The lemma now follows from Burnside's Normal p-Complement Theorem (see Theorem 7.2.1 in [19]). □

Proposition 4.10. *If G is a finite group and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, then G is solvable.*

Proof. We prove G is solvable by induction on the number π of distinct prime divisors of $|G|$. If $\pi \leq 2$ then G is solvable by Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem (see [19, 10.2.1]). Now assume $\pi > 2$. Then G must have a prime divisor $p > 3$. By Lemma 4.9, $G = PN$, where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G and N is a normal p-complement. As $\mathcal{R}(N)$ is graded, N is solvable by inductive hypothesis. Moreover, G/N is a p-group and therefore solvable. Thus G is solvable. □

Now we use Lemma 4.2 to prove that certain groups, which will appear later in our argument, do not have graded subrack lattices.

Lemma 4.11. *Let p be a prime. The subrack lattice $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not graded if G is isomorphic to one of*

1. *$S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$,*
2. *$D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$,*
3. *a dihedral group of order $2k$ with $k > 3$ odd,*
4. *the semi-direct product TV, where $T = \langle t \rangle$ has order two, $V \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $t^{-1}vt = v^{-1}$ for all $v \in V$, or*

(5) $\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$.

Proof. (1) If $G = S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, then G has p central conjugacy classes, p classes of size two (one consisting of elements of order three and the others consisting of elements of order $3p$) and p classes of size three (one consisting of elements of order two and the others consisting of elements of order $2p$). Applying Lemma 4.2 to the unique subgroup of index two in G, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $4p$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to the first component S_3 in the direct product, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length

$$4 + 3p - 3 = 3p + 1 < 4p.$$

(2) Assume that $G = D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $G = Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then G has $2p$ central conjugacy classes and $3p$ classes of size two. Applying Lemma 4.2 to a subgroup of index two in G (which is abelian), we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $6p$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to a non-abelian subgroup of index p in G (which is normal), we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has maximal chain of length

$$6 + 5p - 5 = 5p + 1 < 6p.$$

(3) Assume that $G = D_{2k}$ with $k > 3$ odd. If some prime $p > 3$ divides k, then G contains a dihedral subgroup of order $2p$, which is minimal non-abelian and not isomorphic to any of S_3, D_8 or Q_8. Thus $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not graded by Proposition 4.6. It remains to consider the case where k is a power of three, and it suffices to show that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is not graded when $k = 9$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to the unique subgroup of index two in $G = D_{18}$, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length ten. Applying Lemma 4.2 to any subgroup isomorphic to S_3 (which is not normal), we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $4 + 1 + 3 = 8$.

(4) Assume $G = TV$ with $T = \langle t \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \cong V \lhd G$ and $t^{-1}vt = v^{-1}$ for all $\in V$. Then G has one central conjugacy class, one class of size nine and four classes of size two. Applying Lemma 4.2 to V, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length ten. Applying Lemma 4.2 to any subgroup of order six, we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $4 + 1 + 3 = 8$.

(5) Assume $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$. Then G has two central conjugacy classes, one class of size six (consisting of elements of order four), and four classes of size four (two consisting of elements of order three and two consisting of elements of order six). Applying Lemma 4.2 to the normal Sylow 2-subgroup of G (which is isomorphic with Q_8), we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $6 + 4 = 10$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to any cyclic subgroup of order six (which is maximal and not normal and intersects all four classes of size four non-trivially), we see that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ has a maximal chain of length $6 + 1 + 1 = 8$.

□

Proposition 4.12. *Let G be a non-abelian finite group with an abelian subgroup $A < G$ of index two. If $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded, then G is isomorphic to one of S_3, D_8 or Q_8.*

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded.

As G is non-abelian, there is some minimal non-abelian subgroup H of G. By Proposition 4.6, H is isomorphic to one of S_3, D_8 or Q_8. Moreover, $[H : H \cap A] = 2$. In particular, if $H = S_3$ then A contains all elements of order 3 in G. Fix some $t \in H \setminus A$. Note that t is of order 2 or 4.

Let B be a Hall $2'$-subgroup of A. So, B consists of all elements of odd order in A. As B is characteristic in A, we see that t normalizes B. Note that $C_B(t) = C_B(H)$, as $H = \langle t, H \cap A\rangle$ and $B \leq H \cap A$. Note also that $C_B(H) \cap H = 1$, as $Z(H)$ contains no non-trivial element of odd order. It follows now that if $C_B(t)$ is non-trivial, then there is some element of prime order p in $C_B(H) \setminus H$. In this case, G contains a subgroup isomorphic to $H \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is impossible by Lemma 4.11.

It follows that $C_B(t) = 1$. As $t^2 \in A$, we know that conjugation by t induces an automorphism of B having order two. As B is abelian, this means that

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-1}(x(t^{-1}xt))t &= (t^{-1}xt)(t^{-1}t^{-1}xtt) \\ &= t^{-1}xtx \\ &= xt^{-1}xt \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in B$. From $C_B(t) = 1$ we then deduce that $t^{-1}xt = x^{-1}$ for all $x \in B$. If $B \neq 1$ and t is of order 4 then G contains a minimal non-abelian subgroup not listed in Proposition 4.6. If $|B| = 1$ then G is a 2-group and therefore isomorphic with D_8 or Q_8 by Lemma 4.8. Thus $B \neq 1$ and t is of order 2. By Lemma 4.11(3,4) it follows that $|B| = 3$ and $\langle t, B\rangle \cong S_3$. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of A. So, $A = P \oplus B$. If $P \neq 1$ then P contains an odd number of elements of order two (as these elements generate a non-trivial elementary abelian group). It follows that there is some $x \in C_P(t)$ of order 2. But then $\langle x, t, B\rangle \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, contradicting Lemma 4.11. Therefore, $P = B$ and $G \cong S_3$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is graded and that G is non-abelian. By Proposition 4.10, G is solvable. Fix a composition series

$$1 = G_0 \lhd G_1 \lhd \ldots \lhd G_r = G.$$

Let m be the smallest j such that G_j is non-abelian and let H be a minimal non-abelian subgroup of G_m.

Claim: $H = G_m = G$.

$\triangleleft$ As G_{m-1} is abelian and H is generated by elements of 2-power order (by Proposition 4.6), we see that some element of 2-power order lies in $H \setminus G_{m-1}$. As $[G_m : G_{m-1}]$ is prime, we see that $[G_m : G_{m-1}] = 2$. From the fact that G_{m-1} is abelian and Proposition 4.12 it follows that $G_m = H$. $\triangleright$

It remains to show that $G_m = G$. Assume for contradiction that this is not the case. Then $r \geq m + 1$ and $[G_{m+1} : G_m] = p$ for some prime p.

Since G_m is minimal non-abelian by Proposition 4.6 we have to distinguish the following cases.

Case: $G_m \cong S_3$

It is well known and not hard to show that every automorphism of S_3 is inner. It follows that we can choose some $x \in G_{m+1}$ such that $\langle G_m, x\rangle = G_{m+1}$ and x centralizes G_m. As S_3 has trivial center, we see that $\langle x\rangle \cap G_m = 1$ and $G_m \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, contradicting Lemma 4.11.

Case: $G_m \cong D_8$ or $G_m \cong Q_8$

By Lemma 4.8, G_m is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Therefore, G_{m+1} is the semi-direct product PG_m, where P is cyclic of odd prime order p. We claim that either this product is direct, or $G_{m+1} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(3)$. Theorem 1.3 follows from the claim and Lemma 4.11.

The claim is in fact known to be true, and is not hard to prove. We give a sketch here.

An odd order automorphism of a 2-group is determined by its action on the Frattini quotient. In both cases the Frattini quotient is elementary abelian of rank two. Since $\mathrm{GL}_2(2)$ has order six, the only non-trivial action is obtained by taking P to be the subgroup of order 3 in $\mathrm{GL}_2(2)$.

Thus, if $G_m \cong Q_8$, then either PG_m is a direct product or $G_{m+1} \cong SL_2(3)$. Consider the case $G_m = D_8$. Indeed D_8 has no automorphism of order three. Note, since D_8 has three maximal subgroups, not all isomorphic, any automorphism of order three must normalize all maximal subgroups. From this and that fact that all maximal subgroups contain the center of D_8, it quickly follows that any automorphism of order three centralizes each maximal subgroup and therefore centralizes all of D_8. □

5. Questions and Problems

If R is a quandle then $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is easily seen to be an atomic lattice. For general (finite) racks we do not know if this property holds.

Question 5.1. Is $\mathcal{R}(R)$ atomic for all racks R ?

Consider the converse question for quandles: Does any atomic lattice appear as the subrack lattice of a quandle ? This question has a negative answer. In [33] it was conjectured and later proved in [22] and then in [15] that there is no indecomposable quandle of size $2p$ for $p > 5$. In particular, this implies that the lattice with one top and one bottom element and an antichain of $2p$ incomparable elements in between is not the subrack lattice of a quandle. However, is not clear which general restrictions apply to subrack lattices of quandles.

We have seen in §2 that for a rack R the distribution of the numbers i for which $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R)), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ can be rather complicated. On the other hand for all racks R we have studied $\widetilde{H}_\bullet(\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R)), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ and is torsion free. Indeed, we do not know of any rack R such that $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is acyclic. Since the order complex of a lattice is acyclic if the lattice is not complemented, we also do not know of any rack R for which $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is not complemented.

Question 5.2. Is there a rack R such that $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is not complemented ? Is there a rack R such that $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ is acyclic ? Is there a rack R such that $\Delta(\mathcal{R}(R))$ has torsion in homology ?

Theorem 1.2 also raises the question how much more about the group structure can be deduced from the subrack lattice. For $G = D_8$ and $H = Q_8$ the two non-abelian groups of



FIGURE 1. Subracklattice of non-central elements of D_8 or Q_8

order 8 we have $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H)$. In Figure 1 we depict the subrack lattice of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ where R is the rack of non-central elements of G or H. By Lemma 2.9(1) $\mathcal{R}(G) \cong \mathcal{R}(H) \cong \mathcal{R}(R) \times 2^{[2]}$. But in this case it can be checked that the racks G and H are actually isomorphic.

Question 5.3. Are there two groups G, H, which have isomorphic subrack lattices, but are non-isomorphic as racks?

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch, On finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, In: *Proceedings of the ICM, Seoul, 2014*. Preprint: arXiv:1403.7838.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, M. Graña, From racks to pointed Hopf algebras, Adv. Math. **178** (2003) 177–243.

[3] R. Baddeley, A. Lucchini, On representing finite lattices as intervals in subgroup lattices of finite groups, J. Algebra **196** (1997) 1–100.

[4] A. Björner, Topological methods. Handbook of combinatorics **2**, 1819–1872, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995.

[5] A. Björner, V. Welker, The homology of k-equal manifolds and related partition lattices, Adv. Math. **110** (1995) 277–313.

[6] E. Brieskorn, Automorphic sets and braids and singularities, In *Braids (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986)*, Cont. Math. **78** 45–115, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1988.

[7] K.S. Brown, Euler characteristics of groups: the p-fractional part, Invent. Math. **29** (1975) 1–5.

[8] W. Burnside, Groups of order $p^\alpha q^\beta$, Proc. London Math. Soc., Ser. 2, **1** (1904) 388–392.
[9] A.R. Camina, R.D. Camina, Implications of conjugacy class size, J. Group Theory **1** (1998) 257–269.
[10] J. Cossey, T. Hawkes, A. Mann, A criterion for a group to be nilpotent, Bull. London Math. Soc. **24** (1992) 267–270.
[11] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.
[12] M. Elhamdadi, S. Nelson, Quandles, Student Math. Lib. **74**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2015.
[13] P. Etingof, M. Graña, On rack cohomology, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **177** (2003) 49–59.
[14] W. Feit, G. Seitz, On finite rational groups and related topics, Illinois J. Math. **33** (1989) 103–131.
[15] A. Hulpke, D. Stanovský, P. Vojtěchovský, Connected quandles and transitive groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), 735–758.
[16] B. Huppert, Normalteiler und maximale Untergruppen endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z. **60** (1954) 409–434.
[17] D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **23** (1982) 37–65.
[18] M. Kano, On the number of conjugate classes of maximal subgroups in finite groups, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **55** (1979) 264–265.
[19] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, The theory of finite groups. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
[20] A. Mann, Conjugacy class sizes in finite groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **85** (2008) 251–255.
[21] O. Manz, T. R. Wolf, Representations of Solvable Groups. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[22] J. McCarron, Connected quandles with order equal to twice an odd prime, arXiv:1210.2150.
[23] G.A. Miller, H.C. Moreno, Non-abelian groups in which every subgroup is abelian. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1903) 398–404.
[24] Ø. Ore, Contributions to the theory of finite groups, Duke Math. J. **5** (1939) 431–460.
[25] D. Quillen, Homotopy properties of the poset of non-trivial p-subgroups of a group, Adv. Math. **28** (1978) 101–128.
[26] J. Shareshian, R. Woodroofe, A new subgroup lattice characterization of finite solvable groups, J. Alg. **351** (2012) 448–458.
[27] J. Shareshian, R. Woodroofe, Order complexes of coset posets of finite groups are not contractible, arXiv:1406.6067.
[28] R. Schmidt, Subgroup lattices of groups, de Gruyter Exp. in Math. **14**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
[29] S.D. Smith, Subgroup complexes, Math. Surveys and Monographs **179**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2011.
[30] M. Suzuki, Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups, Erg. der Math. und Grenz. **10**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1956.
[31] M. Suzuki, Group theory. II, Grundl. Math. Wiss. **248**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
[32] G. Thomsen, Grundlagen der Elementargeometrie in gruppenalgebraischer Behandlung, Hamburg. Math. Einzelschr. **15**, Teubner, Leipzig, 1933.
[33] L. Vendramin, On the classification of quandles of low order, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **21** (2012) 1250088.
[34] H. Wielandt, Finite permutation groups, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

Philipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, 35032 Marburg, Germany
E-mail address: heckenberger@mathematik.uni-marburg.de

Department of Mathematics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA
E-mail address: shareshi@math.wustl.edu

Philipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, 35032 Marburg, Germany
E-mail address: welker@mathematik.uni-marburg.de